Precise measurement of HFS of positronium

A. Ishida*, G. Akimoto*, K. Kato*, T. Suehara*, T. Namba*, S. Asai*, T. Kobayashi*, H. Saito[†], M. Yoshida**, K. Tanaka**, A. Yamamoto**, I. Ogawa[‡], S. Kobayashi[‡] and T. Idehara[‡]

*Department of Physics and ICEPP, **University of Tokyo**, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-0033, Japan
[†]Institute of Physics, University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 153-8902, Japan
**High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), 1-1 Oho, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-0801, Japan
[‡]FIR Center, University of Fukui, 3-9-1 Bunkyo, Fukui, 910-8507, Japan

Positronium and its hyperfine structure (HFS)

Positronium (Ps)

The bound state of an electron (e^-) and a positron (e^+)

$$\begin{cases} \text{orthopositronium}\,(o-Ps) \cdots 1^3S_1 \quad \text{mostly } 3\gamma \text{ decay} \\ \text{parapositronium}\,(p-Ps) \cdots 1^1S_0 \quad \text{mostly } 2\gamma \text{ decay} \end{cases}$$



Hyperfine structure (HFS)

- The energy splitting between o-Ps and p-Ps
- The value of HFS

Experimental average

203.388 65(67) GHz (3.3 ppm)

PRA **27**, 262 (1983)
PRA **30**, 1331 (1984)

Theory

203.391 69(41) GHz (2.0 ppm)

PRL. **85**, 5094 (2000)



- The measured values are **consistent with each other** and **lower than the theoretical calculation.**

15 ppm (3.9 σ) discrepancy

Experimental setup

To **reduce these systematic uncertainties**, we use the following **new methods**.

Large bore superconducting magnet

- Operated in **Persistent Current mode** (stable).
- **70 ppm magnetic field uniformity** without any compensations.





High performance gamma-ray detectors

LaBr3 (Ce) scintillators (x 6)
1.5" in diameter & 2.0" long
High energy and timing resolutions, short decay constant

Time information

- **Plastic scintillator** is used to ***tag emitted*** β^+.
- Get the time information between o-Ps creation (t = 0) and decay.

(1) We can measure the thermalization.
(2) Prompt suppression

0.2 mm thick, 15 mm x 15 mm Plastic Scintillator



Measurement using the Zeeman effect

How to measure the HFS?

Induce the transition → 2 γ decay rate increases.

- In a static magnetic field, energy levels of o-Ps split between m_z=0 and m_z=±1 states. (**Zeeman Effect**)
- At about ***9 kG***, Δ_{mix} is about ***3 GHz (microwave)***.
- The HFS value is **calculated from** Δ_{mix}. (***indirect measurement***)
- What about direct measurement?
  → *See T. Suehara's poster.*



Common systematic uncertainties in the previous experiments

1. ***Underestimation of material effects***
   - Unthermalized o-Ps can have a significant effect (especially at low material density). ← o-Ps lifetime puzzle (1990's)
2. ***Non-uniformity of the magnetic field***
   - It's quite difficult to get ppm level uniform field in a large Ps creation volume

Current status

We are presently taking more data….

Preliminary plots

ENERGY SPECTRA



TIMING SPECTRA



RESONANCE CURVE



2 γ decay rate increases because of the transition between o-Ps' m_z=0 and m_z=±1 states.

Converted HFS value (from an only 2 weeks run) is
203.399
± 0.005 (23 ppm, stat.)
± 0.029 (140 ppm, sys.) GHz *(Preliminary)*
(consistent with the previous experiments)
The systematic error mainly comes from the non-uniformity of the magnetic field.

Our goal

O (1) ppm accuracy in a year

1. Develop **compensation coils**
   → ***Get O(1) ppm B - uniformity***



2. **Precisely measure the thermalization function.**
3. **Derive the HFS value at *O* (1) ppm accuracy.**
   → **Solve or Confirm the discrepancy between the experimental values and the theoretical value.**