Search for X-ray photon-photon elastic scattering with a Laue-case beam collider





<u>Tomohiro Yamaji</u>

T. Inada^A, T. Yamazaki^A, T. Namba^A, S. Asai, T. Kobayashi^B, K. Tamasaku^C, Y. Tanaka^D, Y. Inubushi^E, K. Sawada^C, M. Yabashi^C, T. Ishikawa^C, T. Takahashi^F, S. Watanabe^F, G. Sato^G <u>The Univ. of Tokyo</u>, ICEPP^A, KEK^B,RIKEN/SPring-8^C,Univ. of Hyogo^D, JASRI^E, ISAS/JAXA^F, Univ. of Waseda^G LNPC'17@yokohama 21th, April, 2017

Photon-photon scattering

Photon-photon scattering

- Quantum ElectroDynamics(QED) predicts
 elastic scattering of photons in vacuum (1936)
 =nonlinear effect of vacuum
- The contribution of virtual photon scattering is inclusively observed: Delbruck scattering, e/μ anomalous magnetic moment
 The scattering of real photons has not ever been observed →important verification of QED





Summary of previous experiments



cross section (QED) is proportional to 6th power of photon energy

- •All previous experiments use visible or infrared sources
- The best upper limit is 18 orders of magnitude worse than the prediction of QED

New experiment using X-ray source



- •X rays have 4 orders of magnitude higher energy than visible photons
- →Cross section is enhanced by 24 orders of magnitude
- X-ray detectors with high energy precision improve S/N ratio
- •X rays have smaller diffraction limit \rightarrow beams can be focused more strongly
- •X-ray region (~10keV) is new to particle physics experiments→interesting!

X-ray source : SACLA

• the strongest X-ray Free Electron Laser(XFEL) in the world

Specs

• pulsed source with the photon flux of $\sim 6 \times 10^{11}$ photon/pulse and the duration of < 10 fs

- -beam width: 200μm(FWHM), and 1μm coherent focusing is available
- \rightarrow suitable for scattering experiments with high luminosity
- repetition: 30 Hz @ 2015 November
- •X-ray energy: 10.985keV with the bandwidth of 80 eV



X-ray collision system (1/2)

- X-ray diffraction is used to split X-ray beams and to make them collide This technique is developed in X-ray interferometry region
- ◆X-ray beam collider
- •Laue-case X-ray diffraction at silicon crystal is used
- •The collider consists of three blades (t 0.2mm) manufactured on a single mono-crystal



X-ray collision system (2/2)

- X-ray collision is spatially and temporally guaranteed by a geometrical symmetry
- The pulse-by-pulse intensity of colliding beams are measured by PIN photodiodes



Suppression of stray X rays: vacuum chamber

- Signals are very weak even if they exist \rightarrow suppression of stray X rays is essential!
- To suppress stray X rays from atmospheric molecules, collider is installed into a vacuum chamber
- Collimators are installed along the X-ray path to cut the path of stray X rays scattered by the collider
 →The detection rate of stray X rays is reduced to 10⁻¹³ of injected X rays,

and measurement without pseudo signals is achieved!





Measurement of beam widths



Measured X-ray spectrum

- newest measurement (*Phys. Lett. B 763 (2016) 454*)
- measurement period: 30 Hz \times ~38 hour DAQ = 4.1 \times 10⁶ X-ray pulses
- •timing window: detector timing resolution $\pm 5\sigma = \pm 0.4 \ \mu s$
- No significant signal is observed



Measured X-ray spectrum (scatter plot) O: 1 event

Potential source of pseudo signals
 1)pileups of two stray X rays (<22keV)
 2)accidental coincident of
 environmental X rays (18~20keV)
 pseudo signals are expected to
 <<1 event

Results



•upper limit (PLB 2016) on the cross section : 1.9 × 10⁻²⁷ [m²] @ 6.5keV (95% C.L.)

This is the unique/most stringent upper limit in X-ray region

Results



- The upper limit is 20 orders of magnitude worse than QED prediction
- In order to enhance the sensitivity and approach to the QED prediction,
- it is essential to change the experimental setup drastically
- Diffraction efficiency is very small (~10⁻⁵ of raw beam \rightarrow 10⁻¹⁰ sensitivity reduction) \rightarrow Experiment without diffraction is needed!

dream plan : SACLA+SACLA head-on collision

Experiment without diffraction requires an additional X-ray source
If another SACLA exists in front of SACLA, can photon-photon scattering be observed? (thought experiment)

 head-on collision of X-ray pulses with 10¹² photon/pulse
 50nm focusing (horizontal/vertical) can be used for head-on collision →1 photon-photon scattering per 60Hz 2 day DAQ

In principle, X-ray collision can be observed by head-on collision of 2 XFELs
 →ultimate goal of scattering experiments in X-ray region



realistic setup : SACLA+SPring-8

- ◆SACLA+SPring-8 head-on collision
- •SACLA EH5: simultaneous usage of SACLA and SPring-8 BL32 Synchronized operation will be developed in the near future \rightarrow <u>realistic setup</u>
- SPring-8 : ~10³ photon/pulse, 40MHz, 40ps (pulse intensity is 10⁻⁹ of SACLA)
- The head-on collision experiment with 50nm focusing at EH5
 →sensitivity enhancement of 10¹¹ by 60 Hz 2 day DAQ (10⁹ worse than QED prediction)

 more realistic than SACLA+SACLA : next step



Summary of prospects



• current upper limit : 20 orders of magnitude worse than QED prediction

•SACLA+SACLA: QED prediction can be verified (in principle)

: ultimate goal of photon-photon scattering experiment in the X-ray region

head-on collision of SACLA and SPring-8 : sensitivity can be enhanced by 10¹¹

Summary

- •We are performing particle experiments using photons
- Photon-photon scattering of real photons has not ever been observed
- : important verification of QED
- •We have performed first scattering experiment in X-ray region
- •X-ray diffraction is used to split X rays and make them collide
- Background X rays are suppressed to perform 0-pileup experiment
- •The upper limit on cross section is 10²⁰ worse than QED prediction
- : drastic change of experimental setup is necessary
- •SACLA+SACLA: sensitivity can approach to QED prediction in principle
- : ultimate goal of X-ray photon-photon scattering experiment
- head –on collision of SACLA and SPring-8 : sensitivity is enhanced by 10¹¹
 :next step